## REVISED AUGUST 27, 2013

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United State

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

No. 12-31181 Summary Calendar August 23, 2013

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

	<u> </u>	Clerk
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
	Plaintiff-Appellee	
V.		
TERRY W. POUNCY,		
	Defendant-Appellant	
Cons. w/ No. 12-31193		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
V.	Plaintiff-Appellee	
RANDY L. RANDALL,		
	Defendant-Appellant	
Cons. w/ No. 12-31194	Defendant-Appenant	
COII3. W/ INO. 12-31174		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
	Plaintiff-Appellee	
V.		
REGINALD D. LEE,	Defendant-Appellant	

No. 12-31181 c/w No. 12-31193 c/w No. 12-31194

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Western District of Louisiana USDC No. 5:11-CR-317-6 USDC No. 5:11-CR-317-8 USDC No. 5:11-CR-317-12

Before WIENER, OWEN, and HAYNES, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Counsel appointed to represent Terry Pouncy on appeal has filed a motion to withdraw and a brief that relies on Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Pouncy has not filed a response. We have reviewed counsel's brief and the relevant portions of the record reflected therein. We concur with counsel's assessment that the appeal presents no nonfrivolous issue for appellate review.

The record does reveal two clerical errors in the judgment, which stated an offense of conviction as "conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute [cocaine]," where the indictment and the guilty plea recognized that offense of conviction as "possession with intent to distribute cocaine." The judgment should be corrected to reflect that Pouncy was convicted of possession with intent to distribute. See FED. R. CRIM. P. 36. With respect to Count 8, the judgment should be corrected to reflect the right code number of 18 (rather than 21) U.S.C. § 924(c)(1). Id.

Accordingly, Pouncy's counsel's motion for leave to withdraw is GRANTED, counsel is excused from further responsibilities herein, and as to Pouncy only (Case No. 12-31181) his APPEAL IS DISMISSED. See 5TH CIR. R.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star}$  Pursuant to 5TH CIR. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4.

No. 12-31181 c/w No. 12-31193 c/w No. 12-31194

42.2. His case is REMANDED for correction of the clerical errors pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 36.